

MINUTES OF MEETING OF BOARD OF GOVERNORS 01-14

Minutes for BoG Concall

Date: 21/01/2014

Start Time: 5pm (Malaysian Time)

Venue: Conference Call

Attendance

Members and Alternates

Unilever - Bishwaranjan Sen (BW)

Both Ends Paul Wolvekamp (PW)

IOI - Ben Vreebug (BV)

RPOG - Belinda Howell (BH)

WWF - Adam Harrison (AH)

Kraft Foods - Neil Lacroix (NL)

Univanich John Clendon (JC)*

Rabobank Thomas - Ursem (TU)

MPOA Khairudin Hashim (KH)

MPOA – Simon Siburat (SS)

OLAM – Alex Booth (AB)

HSBC – Ian Hays (IH)

BOTHENDS – Paul Vreeburg (PV)

Conservation Intl - John Buchanan (JB)

Oxfam – Johan Verburg (JV)

With Apologies

Rabobank – Geraldine Lim (GL)

AHOLD – Hugo Byrnes (HB)

Mondolez – Jonathan Horrell (JH)

OXFAM – Kate Geary (KG)

With Apologies

DAABON – Felipe Guerrero (FG)

HSBC – John Laidlow (JL)

Goodhope – Edi Suhardi (ES)

AAK – Tim Stephenson (TS)

Musimas – Gan Lian Tong (GLT)

AAK – Martin Craven (MC)

Felda – Nor Kailany (NK)

RSPO Advisors

Prof. BungaranSaragih (BS)

M.R Chandran (MC)

Secretariat Staff

Darrel Webber (DW)

Joycelyn Lee (JL)

Eileen Ho (EH)

Desi Kusumadewi (DS)

SalahudinYaacob (SY)

Dayangku Mazrianah (DK)

* Joined after 25 minutes on the 21st of Jan 2014

Item	Description	Point Person
<p>1.0</p> <p>1.1</p> <p>1.2</p>	<p><u>Introduction</u></p> <p>RSPO Antitrust Laws.</p> <p>There will be no discussion on any commercial aspect of the trade in palm oil on premiums, volumes, individual suppliers, individual customers, etc.</p> <p>RSPO EB consensus based decision making.</p> <p>The EB was reminded that they try to reach/make decision by consensus which is the absence of sustained opposition.</p>	<p>BW</p>
<p>2.0</p> <p>2.1</p>	<p><u>Proposed HCV Compensation Procedure Implementation Trials</u></p> <p><u>Background</u></p> <p>The MPOA had a sustained objection to the proposed HCV Compensation Procedure which halted further progress on the development on said procedure and halted the Malaysian National Interpretation of the RSPO P&Cs.</p> <p>The BoG was briefed that, since November 2013, several unsuccessful attempts have been made to secure an appointment with the CEO of MPOA, to discuss the 10 requests that they had made in relation to the Compensation Procedure. The assigned RSPO taskforce finally met with the CEO of MPOA on the 22nd of January 2014.</p> <p>The meeting did not yield any new results except to reinforce MPOAs sustained objection.</p> <p><i>Decision:</i></p> <p>DW to officially inform MPOA on RSPOs willingness to address two out of the 10 requests made by MPOA, which are, the Legal and Financial elements of the request list.</p> <p><i>On the legal elements:</i></p>	

	<p>RSPO will work to provide language that will not put growers in a position where it becomes an automatic admission of guilt, if they agree to compensate.</p> <p>On the finance element:</p> <p>RSPO had previously engaged consultants to analyse financial implications of the HCV Compensation Procedure and its results were previously agreed by the members of the Compensation Taskforce.</p> <p>However, since MPOA continues to see this as an issue, the RSPO invites MPOA to conduct its own study on these financial impacts which could be then submitted to the Compensation Taskforce for review.</p> <p>Further,</p> <p>The RSPO will also :</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Request MPOA reply officially to the RSPO response, stating their agreement/objection, by the 10th of February.• Request MPOA to act in good faith towards the solution of this problem.• Inform MPOA that failure to reply to the RSPO within the set deadline allows the board of governors to exercise its prerogative to request MPOA to reconsider its position on the BoG.	
--	--	--