RSPO NEW PLANTING PROCEDURE SUMMARY REPORT OF SEIA AND HCV ASSESSMENT

KULIM (Malaysia) Berhad Group — PT Sawit Sumber Rejo

Kabupaten Barito Utara, Central Kalimantan INDONESIA

JULY 2014

RSPO NEW PLANTINGS PROCEDURE

Summary Report of SEIA and HCV Assessment

1. Executive Summary

PT Sawit Sumber Rejo (PT SSR) is subsidiaries of PT Wisesa Inspirasi Nusantara, which in-turn is a 74% subsidiary of Kulim (Malaysia) Berhad, a Malaysian agro-industri company. The shareholder of PT SSR is PT Wisesa Inspirasi Nusantara (95%) and PT Graha Sumber Berkah (5%) (based on change of certificate of incorporation no. 18 dated on July 26, 2013 by notary of Firdhonal,SH) while Kulim (Malaysia) Berhard is one of the shareholders in PT Wisesa Inspirasi Nusantara amount of 74% (based on change of certificate of incorporation no. 5 dated on December 11, 2011 by notary of Firdhonal,SH). The Kulim (Malaysia) Berhad has been a long guided by strong focus on sustainability, and has based its commitment to sustainable palm oil on the Principles and Criteria of the Rountable on Sustainable Palm Oil (RSPO).

As a member of RSPO, Kulim (Malaysia) Berhad is committed to ensure that the company's operations comply with the RSPO certification requirement including those of the NPP (New Planting Procedure) which was enforced 1st January 2010. This includes a total area of 14,816 ha within new permitted area for land development ("izin lokasi") which was alienated into subsidiary companies in Indonesia.

PT Sawit Sumber Rejo (PT SSR) has obtained a Land Development Permit ("izin lokasi") for oil palm plantations covering an area of ±14,816 ha through the Decree No. 188.45/289/2013, dated 16 May 2013, signed by Bupati of Barito Utara District, Central Kalimantan Province of Indonesia. The location of PT Sawit Sumber Rejo permitted area cover Kelurahan Lahei I, Kelurahan Lahei II, Desa Muara Bakah, Desa Luwe Hulu, Desa Luwe Hilir, Desa Juju Baru, Desa Hurung Enep, Desa Muara Inu, Desa Bengahon, Kelurahan Jambu, Desa Malawaken, Desa Hajak and Desa Liang Naga, Sub-District of Lahei, Lahei Barat and Teweh Baru, District of Barito Utara.

Based on overlaying map of RTRWP (Provincial Spatial Plan) of Central Kalimantan according to Provincial Decree No. 8/2003, all new concession area of PT SSR's status is Land for Settlement and Other Uses ("Pemukiman dan APL/ArealPenggunaan Lain") which can be developed as oil palm plantation, this include Production Forest which shall acquire Forest Land release permit for development of oil palm plantation. Furthermore, based on map in the Appendix Decree of Forestry Ministry No. 529/MENHUT-II/2012 dated 25 September 2012, with regards to Forestry Development Authority Land Use Suitability Map of Central Kalimantan Indonesia for Conservation Forest & Other Uses, all new concession area of PT SSR status is under Convertible Production Forest ("HPK/Hutan Produksi Konversi") and Other Uses ("APL/Areal Penggunaan Lain"). In other hand, based on map in the Appendix Decree of Forestry Ministry No. 2796/Menhut-VII/IPSDH/2013, dated 16 May 2013, with regards to Indicative Map on Moratorium of new concession permit for Forest Use and Utilization, and Amendment of Forest Allotment area and Other Uses, PT SSR's new

concession areas is not included in moratorium as indicated in the map. There is no primary forest and peat land within proposed concession area.

Kulim (Malaysia) Berhad through its subsidiary companies (PT SSR) is committed to comply with relevant regulation through a formal process to obtain Forest land release permit from Forestry Ministry prior to land development. In other case, for areas which consist of water conservation area will be maintained as reserve and riparian areas alongside with other protected area according to HCV assessment results.

The HCV assessment was conducted in September 2013 with socio-economic, cultural, biodiversity and environmental service surveys conducted by independent consultant (Daemeter) experts and numerous assistants, including specialists in tropical forest ecology, botany, social sciences, ornithology, mammalogy and conservation biology. The team was supported by Daemeter consultant's inhouse experts in remote sensing, soils, environmental services, mapping, and assessing socio-economic and cultural values.

The total area delineated as High Conservation Value Management Area (HCVMA) to maintain HCVs deemed present in Kulim (Malaysia) Berhad subsidiary's companies (PT SSR) is 1,816 ha out of 14,816 ha collectively within permitted area.

Based on the HCV assessment done in the initial SEIA and reconfirmed in the recent study of the area, it can be confirmed that there are no primary forest within the area. The remaining forest vegetation is characterized by secondary forests and agriculture degraded farmlands. The original forests of the area have been cleared for agriculture in the past, leaving secondary vegetation.

There is no peat soils located in the area. Most of the soil type is mineral soil with sandy clay and loamy clay in the texture. The topography of the area is hilly to undulating onto flat with elevation of 25 - 200 meters above sea level.

2. Scope of the SEIA and HCV Assessment

2.1 Organizational Information and Contact Persons

The shareholder of PT SSR is PT Wisesa Inspirasi Nusantara (95%) and PT Graha Sumber Berkah (5%) (based on change of certificate of incorporation no. 18 dated on July 26, 2013 by notary of Firdhonal,SH) while Kulim (Malaysia) Berhard is one of the shareholders in PT Wisesa Inspirasi Nusantara amount of 74% (based on change of certificate of incorporation no. 5 dated on December 11, 2011 by notary of Firdhonal,SH).

Company name : Kulim (Malaysia) Berhad

RSPO membership No : 1-0006-04-000-00

Head Office:

KB 705 Johor Bahru 80990 MALAYSIA

Phone: +6078611611



Fax: +6078631084

<u>Contact Persons</u>:

Azmariah Muhamed Heikal Ismail

Email: azmariah@kulim.com.my Email: heikal@kulim.com.my

Indonesian office:

Jalan Letjen Suprapto No. 8A-B

Jakarta Pusat

Phone: +62 214242612 Fax: +62 214203011

Contact Person: Priyo Prihwardono Email: priyo@grahagrup.co.id

2.2 List of Legal documents and regulatory permits and property deeds related to the areas assessed:

Table 1. List of Legal Document for PT Sawit Sumber Rejo

LIST OF LEGAL DOCUMENT	ISSUE BY AND THROUGH	DATE AND CODE NUMBER
Company Registration	Bupati (Head of) Barito Utara,	Issued on 27 February 2014,
Number ("Tanda Daftar	Kepala Kantor Pelayanan	Decree No.15.02.1.01.00273
Perusahaan")	Perizinan Terpadu	
Tax Registration Number	Kementerian Keuangan,	Issued on 26 February 2013,
(NPWP)	Dirjen Pajak	Decree No.03.142.834.5-
		714.000
Plantation Business Permit	Bupati (Head of) Barito Utara	Issued on 12 February 2013,
(Izin Usaha Perkebunan/IUP)	District, Central Kalimantan	Decree No.188.45/51/2013
	Province – Indonesia	
Land Development Permit	Bupati (Head of) Barito Utara	Issued on 16 May 2013, Decree
("Izin Lokasi")	District, Central Kalimantan	No. 188.45/289/2013
	Province – Indonesia	
Forest Land Release Permit		On progress
ANDAL (Socio-Environmental	Bupati (Head of) Barito Utara	Issued on 7 April 2014, Decree
Impact Assessment)	District, Central Kalimantan	No.188.45/187/2014
	Province – Indonesia	
Environmental Permit ("Izin	Bupati (Head of) Barito Utara	Issued on 7 April 2014, Decree
Lingkungan")	District, Central Kalimantan	No.188.45/186/2014
	Province – Indonesia	
Timber Cutting Permit ("Izin		On Progress
Pemanfaatan Kayu")		
Land Use Rights		On Progress

2.3 Location maps – both at landscape level and property level

Figure 1: Location of PT Sawit Sumber Rejo oil palm plantation as shown in North Barito Regency




Figure 2: Estate design block map PT Sawit Sumber Rejo, North Barito Regency, Central Kalimantan Province





Figure 3: Map of HCV management area of PT Sawit Sumber Rejo



2.4 Area of New Plantings and Time-plan for New Plantings.

Kulim (Malaysia) Berhad's subsidiary companies (PT SSR) plan to allocate land use within concession area in accordance to a guidance from Lembaga Pendidikan Perkebunan Kelapa Sawit (2004) where land use allocation would be determined on the percentage of each function.

In accordance with the ANDAL operational management data of PT SSR, the total estimated new planting area is $\pm 14,816$ Ha, comprised of $\pm 12,258$ ha plantable area of nucleus estates, ± 823 Ha riparian conservation area, $\pm 1,231$ Ha for transmigration project area, and ± 504 Ha reserved for irrigation. Furthermore lowland areas, ecological and other important socio-culture aspects should also be preserved, and it is these details which are elaborated by the HCV Assessment and described fully in the HCV report.

Table 2. Allocation of plantable area PT SSR based on ANDAL

No	Land	Percent	Size of	
	allocation	(%)	area (ha)	
1	Palm trees	91.96	11,272.46	
2	Nursery	0.20	24.51	
3	Roads	3.20	392.26	
4	Drainage	2.70	330.97	
5	Mill	0.25	30.65	
6	Office	0.02	2.45	
7	Compound	1.35	165.48	
8	Social facility	0.16	19.61	
9	Sport	0.16	19.61	
	infrastructure			
	Total	100	12,258.00	

Area figures used in the HCV report are based on GIS analysis using the geo-referenced boundary points from the official *izin lokasi* as endorsed by local government. This provides a definitive total area of the *izin lokasi* (this varies from the approximate areas indicated in the ANDAL). Furthermore there are overlaps between the three estates based on the *izin lokasi*. In Table 3 below the total Plantable Area equals the Estate Area minus the recommended HCVMA. In order to be compliant with RSPO NPP Procedures the HCVMA may not be cleared and should be actively managed to preserve the values.

Table 3. HCV Area Summary based on GIS Analysis

			Plantable
Name	Estate Area (Ha)	HCVMA (Ha)	Area (ha)
PT.SSR	14,792	1,861	12,931
Overlap PT.WSK 2 & PT.SSR	255	12	243
Total	15,047	1,873	13,174

The location of the HCVMA is mapped in figures 2 & 3. Other details and management and monitoring recommendations relating to this are included in the HCV Full Assessment Report.

The main socio-culture and local tradition which are identified under conservation area would also be preserved such as Bukit Combing, Batu Manyu, Kayu Madu, Gua Liang Naga, Batu Memen, and sacred forestof Sipung Maping, Hutan Adat, and Hutan Garong.

In order to contribute some income for the local community and to maintain harmonious relationship with the local community, the development of plasma plantation at least 20% from total of Plantable area is a requirement under Central Kalimantan Provincial rules No. 5/2011 with regards to Development of Sustainable Plantation and Agriculture Minister regulation No.98/2013 with regards to Guidance for Plantation Business Permit. And the plasma plantation is outside the area of IUP (in accordance with article 15, paragraph 2 of Agriculture minister Regulation No. 98/2013). Kulim (Malaysia) Berhad and its subsidiary companies are strongly committed to comply with this regulation. The development of plasma plantation would be at same stages with land clearance of Kulim's company nucleus estate as mutually agreed by both parties i.e. Kulim's company and communities

Table 4. Proposed Time Frame for New Planting

No.	ACTIVITY	YEAR										
		2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021			2044
Α	Pre-Construction Stages											
A.1	Public Awareness											
A.2	Land settlement											
В	Construction Stages											
B.1	Man Power Recruitment											
B.2	Incoming equipment and materials											
B.3	Land clearing											
B.4	Infrastructure preparation											
B.5	Land preparation/planting											
B.6	Immature palms upkeep											
С	Operation Stages											
C.1	Mature palms upkeep											
C.2	Harvesting and FFB Transports							_				
C.3	Operation of supporting Estate infrastructure											
C.4	Maintenance of supporting infrastructure									_		
C.5	Community Development programme	_								_		

Kulim (Malaysia) Berhad subsidiary company (PT SSR) is aware of the requirements and conducted the compensation for private assets and land acquisition resolution with free prior and informed consent based on SEIA (ANDAL) and/or SIA report and Minutes of Meetings with local Communities on Public awareness of the Project.

3. Assessment Process and Procedures

3.1 Assessors and their credentials

The teams for the SEIA (ANDAL), HCV Assessment and SIA study include forestry and biodiversity experts, social specialist, biologist, Agriculture, and GIS Specialist with long experiences both in and out of the field. Following the completion of the report a review was conducted by independent professionals from an environmental, forestry and social background tasks with the responsibility of reviewing the methodology, quality and outputs of the studies and reports.

The SEIA (ANDAL) was conducted by competence team and personnel who holds valid certificate, a brief profile of the assessor team are mentioned below:

Table 5. SEIA Assessor Team and Qualification

No	Roles	Name	Qualification & Certificate		
1	Team Leader	Parluhutan Dodo	Biology, AMDAL A, B, C, Auditor Lingkungan,		
		Binoto, SP, MP	Sertifikat Kompetensi (KTPA), LSK Intakindo (No:		
			00508/SKPA/ LSK-INTAKINDO/X/2011)		
2	Team member	Ir. Yansen Noky	Socio-economy, culture, community health, (AMDAL A & B), Sertifikat Kompetensi (ATPA), LSK Intakindo (No:000727/SKPA-P1/LSKINTAKINDO/XI/2012)		
		Ratnayanty, S.Pd	Chemist, (AMDAL A & B), Sertifikat Kompetensi (ATPA), LSK Intakindo (No:000926/SKPA/LSK-INTAKINDO/VI/2013)		
3	Technical Expert &	Jhon Piter Manalu, M.Si	Agriculture, (AMDAL A & AMDAL B)		
	Support	Yulius Wawensa, A.Md	Forestry, AMDAL B		
		Lery Jhon Titus, S.Pi	Aquatic Biota		
		Dody Enrico Baboe, SE	Socio-economy, culture, & community health		

The HCV assessment and Social Impact Assessment (SIA) report was conducted by Daemeter Consulting. The assessment team involved 15 people who participated in one or more parts of the field component of the HCV assessment, as well as two team leaders and seven support staff who were involved in non-field based aspects of the assessment. Team members are listed below and a short biography for each team member is provided in Appendix of the HCV and SIA report documents. Co-team Leaders for this assessment — Philip Wells, Gary Paoli and Aisyah Sileuw - are *RSPO approved HCV assessors*, as are two other assessment team members, Felicia Lasmana and Iwan Rosyid. A brief profile of the assessor team is mentioned below:

(a) Field-team:

- 1. Felicia Lasmana, Mammal Expert, Biodiversity Survey Team, Daemeter Consulting
- 2. Ryan Avriandy, Mammal Assistant, Biodiversity Survey Team, External Consultant
- 3. Kursani Sumantri, Plant Expert, Biodiversity Survey Team, External Consultant
- 4. Syapuri, Plant survey assistant, Biodiversity Survey Team, Daemeter Consulting
- 5. Muhammad Iqbal, Bird Expert, Biodiversity Survey Team, Daemeter Consulting
- 6. Iwan Kurnia Rosyid, Socio-cultural Survey Team Leader, Daemeter Consulting
- 7. Cepy Heryadi, Socio-cultural Survey Team Member, External Consultant
- 8. Aldio Dwicahyo, Socio-cultural Survey Team Member, External Consultant
- 9. Mohamad Asrar Iqbal, Socio-cultural Survey Team Member, External Consultant



- 10. Mohamad Fahrudin, Socio-cultural Survey Team Member, External Consultant
- 11. Naka Yuliansyah, Socio-cultural Survey Team Member, External Consultant
- 12. Febriangga Hermawan, Socio-cultural Survey Team Member, External Consultant
- 13. Adita Agung Pradata, Socio-cultural Survey Team Member, External Consultant
- 14. Ika Puspitasari, Socio-cultural Survey Team Member, External Consultant
- 15. Nidya Bela Anggita, Socio-cultural Survey Team Member, External Consultant

(b) Daemeter senior advisors, co-team leaders and additional support staff:

- 1. Jules Crawshaw, Report writer and Landscape Ecology Specialist
- 2. Aisyah Sileuw, Social Team Coordinator
- 3. Philip Wells, GIS and Landscape Ecology Specialist
- 4. Gary Paoli, Biodiversity Team Coordinator & reporting oversight
- 5. Neil Franklin, Management recommendation & reporting support
- 6. Indrawan Suryadi, GIS expert
- 7. Aji Sartono, GIS staff

3.2 Assessment Methods

SEIA (ANDAL) was conducted through matrix and flow process analysis to identify the potential impact of environmental and social aspects, as well as group interaction to evaluate the identified potential impact. Furthermore, the HCV assessment process us describe as following table.

Table 6. HCV assessment process and associated timeline for this assessment:

Step	Step description	Dates undertaken/scheduled		
1	Compilation of secondary and available primary data, including preliminary stakeholder consultation during a short, initial visit to the license areas	July & November 2013 (site visit July & December 2013)		
2	Team formation and briefing on project scope	July – August 2013		
3	HCV pre-assessment based on available data to determine HCVs potentially present	July – August 2013		
4	Planning for fieldwork and agreement on field methods for primary data collection	July – August 2013		
5	Fieldwork and primary data collection, including direct stakeholder consultation	Socio-economic/cultural survey: Phase 1: 18-23 August Phase 2: 18 Nov – 2 Dec Biodiversity and ecosystem services survey: 19 - 27 November		
6	Data analysis and interpretation	November – December 2013		
7	Preparation of a Draft Report, including HCVMA maps and management and	December 2013		

	monitoring recommendations	
8	Public consultation to report interim HCV findings and refine threat assessment	Scheduled for January 2014
9	Critical Review of Draft Report (a) Internal discussion between assessment team and company (b) External peer review by one or more qualified expert(s)	Scheduled for January 2014
10	Revise report based on critical review and public consultation (Final Draft)	Scheduled for January 2014
11	Development and adoption of formal HCV management and monitoring plans by the companies	To be undertaken by the companies. Refer to main text in this section.

The SIA followed three stages.

First, a "desktop study", to collect existing data from public sources. Further collection of data was also conducted in the villages, sub-district and district administration offices, collecting information such as public health data, villages/sub-district and districts monographies.

Second, field work, which included in-depth interviews, as well as Focus Groups Discussions (FGD) and direct observations. The field work was conducted in the surrounding villages interacting with PT SSR. Total 10 selected villages within PT SSR was observed.

Third, analysis of the data and redaction of the report. The report was submitted to Kulim for review and comments before being finalised.

Stakeholder Consultation

Stakeholder consultation is fundamental to the SEIA, SIA and HCV assessment process. A range of stakeholders were consulted during the pre-assessment and full assessment stages. Their input is summarized in Appendix of the SEIA, SIA and HCV assessment report. Stakeholder input ranged from general themes, in the subject of oil palm development in Central Kalimantan and opinion/concerns about the companies' operations and future plans, specific input on biodiversity issues, environmental services, local livelihoods and other issues of concern to local communities. A much larger number of local stakeholders were consulted directly during fieldwork for primary data collection and for follow-up to public consultation (April 28, 2014), including local community members, formal and informal community leaders, company staff and officials.

4.a. Summary of SEIA (ANDAL) & SIA findings

Demography/Social issues.PT SSR's concession area is located within several Sub-Districts (Kecamatan) namely Lahei, Teweh Baru, and Lahei Barat, which is medium densely populated. There are quite number of villages which around the concession area namely Lahei II, Muara Barkah, Luwe Hulu, Luwe Hilir, Juju Baru, Hurung Enep, Muara Inu, Bengahon, Jambu Malawaken, Hajak and Liang Naga Village. All are reasonably far from the district capital of Barito Utara. The total population of villages neighbouring the concession area reached to 5,597 people. There are some villages exactly inside the concession area. Traditional Rubber plantation and paddy field are major livelihoods for most villagers with few of them are relying on fishesries, rattan farmers, private employees, school teacher, nurses, government servant, military soldier, workers paid, small groceries, and many more.

Ethnically, the population is mostly of Dayak descent (Dayak Taboyan, Dusun Malang and Dayak Bakumpay), with relatively few numbers of people from other areas (Javanese, Sudanese, Batak, Padang, Banjar). A balance portion of religion within villagers between Islam, Christian (Protestan & Catholic), and traditional believes (Hindu/Kahariangan) Education is relatively good, with a good proportion of the younger people reaching high school and few of them up to university student. Health facilities in the area are limited, with no doctor. Primary health services are available in each village of the area such in Kelurahan Lahei.

Land ownership mostly is local traditional rights which come from hereditary of Dayak tribes, while some of them were owned from formal process of buying and selling.

Economy. The area relies almost exclusively on small-scale rubber farming and paddy field, and has done so for a long period of time, as can be observed by the age of the rubber trees and size of plots of paddy. The local population is familiar with rubber farming from farmers that owned rubber trees, or workers that are paid daily, or collectors of latex. Other sources of income are limited such as some small trader, and afew number of public servants and private employees. Generally level of monetarisation is considered low to mediocre.

Potential positive and negative developments. The local populations will expect some positive outcomes from the development of PT SSR in the area. Improvement of income would be a priority outcome from local communities to improve their living through huge opportunitiesworking for company. Improved roads would also be part of outcome for the local population, to improve access to the area, and access to school for the children. Related to this, improved education and healthcare facilities would be also seen as a positive result of the presence of the company, with possibly better school and cllinic buildings, support to the nurses and teachers and/or scholarships for children in the area. Improve other public infrastructure would also be seen as positive outcome such as clean water facilities.

The traditional land ownership system in place in the area will be a challenge for the initial phases of land-rights acquisition by the company. As is the case in many other areas, there

will likely be some land-rights ownership conflicts, with multiple people claiming ownership of the same plot of land. Furthermore, land settlement through negotiation process will be due challenging which some of local people wants to be deal and transparant; while in other case people may wish for joining plasma programme.

Villagers will be very wary of any perceived water pollution or over-usage by the company, due to their reliance on the rivers to supply them with water for their daily needs. Disturbance of existence flora and fauna would be as important impacts if company does not have initiative to protect and maintain in long-term plan. Soil damage due to inappropriate waste management (e.g. waste water, and hazardous waste) will be very main impact for environment in addition to land fire, soil erosion, and noise.

Considering the medium population density, CSR efforts by the company are expected to have a good impact. The relative amount of money spent per habitant will be relatively high, and if planned participatively, CSR activities are more likely to bring satisfaction to the villagers.

4.b. Summary of Assessment Findings for HCV Assessment

In HCV report, the condition of land cover throughout all concession areas is predominantly zoned for non-forest uses (Kawasan Pemukiman dan Penggunaan Lain (KPPL)) based on provincial spatial plans (Rencana Tata Ruang Wilayah Propinsi (RTRWP) Kalimantan Tengah) while based on the forest maps in Central Kalimantan Province (Ministry of Forestry decree No. 529/Menhut-II/2012) that license areas (location permit on behalf PT SSR) have large areas of overlap with production forest for conversion to other forestry uses (Hutan Produksi dapat dikonversi (HPK)) amount of 13,058 ha, production forest (Hutan Produksi (HP)) amount of 1.9 Ha and land for other uses (Areal Penggunaan Lain (APL)) amount of 1,589 ha. Production forest (HP) and production forest for conversion to other forestry uses (HPK) cannot be planted with oil palm unless auditee has received permit from the government for converted to land for other uses (forest exchange area permit (izin tukar menukar kawasan) for HP and forest land released permit (izin pelepasan kawasan hutan) for HPK) while land for other uses are currently legally available for conversion to palm oil. According to soil and land system maps of PT Sawit Sumber Rejo attached on the HCV assessment and EIA document, there is no peatland present in all company's proposed new planting area. The Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) document and HCVF assessments conducted in various concessions state explicitly that the majority of production forest for conversion to other forestry uses (HPK).

HCV locations are distributed in all the company's locations. There are 5 categorized HCV in the company's location, i.e. HCV 1, 3, 4, 5 and 6 with object are Lahei river, degraded forest / log over area (LOA), lake, spring, grave area (kuburan), and protected or sacred object.

The important element of HCV 1 especially HCV 1.2 and 1.3 are existence of species according to IUCN, CITES and Government of Indonesia (PP No. 7 year 1999) and its habitat. There are 6 bird species identified include in Red List IUCN / CITES / GoI i.e Loriculus

galgulus (LC, CITES App II), Ceyx/Alcedo sp (GoI), Rhipidura javanica (LC, GoI), Anthreptes malacensis (LC, GoI), Arachnothera longirostra (LC, GoI) and Lonchura fuscans (LC, Endemic to Borneo) and there are 19 mammal species identified include in Red List IUCN / CITES / GoI i.e Nycticebus menagensis (VU, CITES App I, GoI), Cynocephalus variegatus (VU, CITES App I, GoI), Tarsius bancanus (VU, CITES App II, GoI), Presbytis frontata (VU, CITES App II, GoI), Nasalis larvatus (EN, CITES App I, GoI), Macaca fascicularis (LC, CITES App II), Macaca nemestrina (VU, CITES App II), Hylobates muelleri (EN), Hylobates agilis/albibarbis (EN, CITES App I, GoI), Manis javanica (EN, CITES App II, GoI), Pteropus vampyrus (LC, CITES App II), Arctictis binturong (VU, CITES III), Sus barbatus (VU), Tragulus javanicus (LC), Tragulus napu (LC), Muntiacus muntjac (LC), Muntiacus atherodes (LC), Rusa unicolor (VU), and Cervus timorensis (VU). There are 5 flora species potencially presence include in Red List IUCN / GoI i.e Dipterocarpus lowii (CR, GoI), Dipterocarpus grandiflorus (CR, GoI), Shorea lamellate (CR), Shorea myrionerva (CR) and Anisoptera costata (EN).

The important elements for HCV 3 are Maput dan Lohai land systems are a hilly land system with amplitude of relief between 50-300 m when associated with open wetlands and lakes they are rare and endangered while Teweh dan Lawanguwang land systems are both considered endangered consisting of riparian and mixed and hill dipterocarp forest. They are occur on alluvium in broad valleys with flat bottoms and where it is mixed or hill forest occurring over sedimentary rock.

The important elements for HCV 4 are environmental services (focused on water quality, soil conservation and land fire control). Two categories of environmental service values were present in the concession areas i.e (i) important areas or ecosystem for the provision of water and anticipation of floods in the downstream communities (HCV 4.1) and (ii) important areas for the prevention of erosion and sedimentation (HCV 4.2).

The important element for HCV 5 are their basic needs by cultivating them or purchasing them form mobile vendors, small grocery stores or markets in closest town or in Muara Teweh. Water was the most heavily relied on natural resource with rivers, lake and springs central to meeting community basic water needs. While three villages in PT SSR areas (Bengahon village, Juju Baru village and Muara Inu village) depend on local rivers to meet their fish protein needs.

The important element for HCV 6 is the areas that have important function for local communities culture are archeological sites (protected or sacred object therefore they have ancestral heritage or historical value) and cultural rituals (the location and materials necessary to perform them). Type of archeological sites in Bengahon village are rangga milio, kuburan rangga, rangga balai lambing; in Muara Inu village is tambak gantung; and in Juju Baru vilage is kuburan tamak. Type of ritual in Bengahon village are Belian, Bedewa, Deder and Tiwah; in Muara Inu village is tolak bala and in Juju Baru village are Belian, Tiwah and Wara.

Guarantee from PT SSR that PT SSR will not conduct land clearing on HCV areas where appropriate with SOP Land Preparation (poin 4). Based on the results of field visit that there are not activity land clearing on degraded forest/log over area (LOA) in Juju Baru village, riparian Lahei river, grave and scared.

5. Internal Responsibility

Formal sign-off by Assessors and Company.

This document is the Summary of SEIA (ANDAL), HCV (High Conservation Values) Assessment, and SIA (Social Impact Assessment) of Kulim (Malaysia) Berhad subsidiary companies: PT Sawit Sumber Rejo. For full details these reports should be read in their entirety.

Parluhutan Dodo Binoto

Team Leader SEIA (ANDAL)
CV Anugerah Enviropratama

Aisyah Sileuw

Team Leader HCV & SIA Daemeter Consulting

Statement ot Acceptance of Responsibility for Assessments.

The assessment results of the SEIA (ANDAL), High Conservation Value (HCV) Assessment, and Social Impact Assessment (SIA) will be applied as part of the guidelines in developing and managing PT Sawit Sumber Rejo.

Zulkifly Zakariah

President Director

Priyo Prihwardono

Director