



## COMPLAINTS PANEL MINUTES OF MEETING for Website

Date: 30 April 2025 @ 5.00pm - 6.30pm

Meeting : via Zoom

MEMBERS OF THE COMPLAINTS PANEL	
	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Henry Barlow (Chair - CCP)</li><li>2. Lim Sian Choo ( Co Chair)</li><li>3. Michelle Desilets</li><li>4. Marieke Leegwater</li><li>5. Lanash Thanda</li><li>6. Robert Kruger</li><li>7. Florentine van den Eerenbeemt</li><li>8. Priya Gopalan</li><li>9. Carl Dagenhart</li><li>10. Kartika Dewi</li><li>11. Irene Aycinena Abascal</li><li>12. Miguel Tejada Iraizoz</li><li>13. Henky Satrio Wibowo</li><li>14. Juliarta Bramansa Ottay</li></ol>
GENERAL AGENDA	
	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Opening Remarks by Chairperson</li><li>2. RSPO Antitrust Guidelines</li><li>3. Declaration of Conflict of Interest</li><li>4. To confirm and adopt the minutes of <b>26 March 2025</b> CP Meeting</li><li>5. New Allegations</li><li>6. Complaints Case Statistics</li><li>7. Complaints Panel Meeting Dates<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>7.1 Dates for CP Monthly Meetings</li><li>7.2 Dates for CP Physical Meetings</li><li>7.3 Expansion of the Complaints Panel</li></ol></li><li>8. Post Complaints Monitoring</li></ol>



	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>9. Dispute Settlement Facility (DSF)</li> <li>10. Commitment of Financial Institutions.</li> <li>11. 1st Internal Voluntary Review of the Complaints and Appeals Procedures</li> <li>12. ASC-CP Joint Meeting</li> <li>13. Complaints for deliberation</li> </ol>
<b>Group 1 - COMPLAINTS FOR DELIBERATION</b>	
	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Poligrow Colombia S.A.S. vs Inversiones Chirajara S.A.S.</li> <li>2. NATURACEITES S. A. vs Requested for Confidentiality</li> <li>3. Palmas del Espino S.A. vs Requested for Confidentiality</li> <li>4. Compañía Palma Tica S.A. (a subsidiary of Compañía Industrial Aceitera Coto Cincuenta y Cuatro S.A.) vs Requested for Confidentiality</li> <li>5. INDUSTRIAS OLEOPALMA SA DE CV. (a subsidiary of Oleoquímica Mexicana SA de CV) vs Requested for Confidentiality</li> <li>6. OLEOFLORES S.A.S vs Requested for Confidentiality</li> </ol>
<b>Group 2 - COMPLAINTS FOR DELIBERATION</b>	
	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. PT Citra Sawit Cemerlang (a subsidiary of Genting Plantations Berhad) vs Requested for Confidentiality</li> <li>2. PT Mitra Karya Sentosa (a subsidiary of First Resources Limited) vs Requested for Confidentiality</li> <li>3. PT Guntung Idamannusa (subsidiary of Musim Mas Holdings Pte. Ltd) vs Mr. S. Samsul Hadi (Kepala Desa Pelanduk)</li> <li>4. PT. Sawit Mas Sejahtera (a subsidiary of Golden Agri-Resources Ltd) vs Requested for Confidentiality</li> </ol>
<b>Group 3 - COMPLAINTS FOR DELIBERATION</b>	
	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. First Resources Limited vs Requested for Confidentiality</li> <li>2. Energy &amp; Palma S.A. (a subsidiary of HOLDING LA FABRIL S.A) vs Requested for Confidentiality</li> <li>3. PT. Bumi Pratama Khatulistiwa ( subsidiary of Wilmar International Limited) vs Requested for Confidentiality</li> <li>4. Energy &amp; Palma S.A. ( subsidiary of La Fabril Group) vs Requested for Confidentiality</li> </ol>



**DETAILS OF THE AGENDA:**

No.	Agenda																																			
1.	<p><b>Opening Remarks from Chairperson</b></p> <p>Opening speech by CCP.</p>																																			
2.	<p><b>RSPO Antitrust Guidelines</b></p> <p>RSPO Antitrust Guidelines were read to the CP.</p>																																			
3.	<p><b>Declaration of “No Conflict of Interest”</b></p> <p>No further Conflict of Interest declared at this time of the meeting.</p>																																			
4.	<p><b>To confirm and adopt the minutes of 26 March 2025 during the Complaints Panel Meeting</b></p> <p>Minutes of 26 March 2025 adopted unanimously.</p>																																			
<b>5. New allegation submitted</b>																																				
5.1	<p>Discussion on 30 April 2025:-</p> <p>1. No new allegations at this juncture.</p>																																			
<b>6. Complaints Cases Statistics</b>																																				
<p><b>Total cases in 2025</b></p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Month</th> <th>Complaints received</th> <th>Country</th> <th>Open</th> <th>Closed</th> <th>Rejected</th> <th>Withdrawn</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>January</td> <td>1</td> <td>Papua New Guinea</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>1</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>February</td> <td>1</td> <td>Indonesia</td> <td>1</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>March</td> <td>0</td> <td>-</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>April</td> <td>0</td> <td>-</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p><b>Total Active Cases by Country and Year (as of 28.04.2025)</b></p>		Month	Complaints received	Country	Open	Closed	Rejected	Withdrawn	January	1	Papua New Guinea	0	0	1	0	February	1	Indonesia	1	0	0	0	March	0	-	0	0	0	0	April	0	-	0	0	0	0
Month	Complaints received	Country	Open	Closed	Rejected	Withdrawn																														
January	1	Papua New Guinea	0	0	1	0																														
February	1	Indonesia	1	0	0	0																														
March	0	-	0	0	0	0																														
April	0	-	0	0	0	0																														



Country	Active Cases
Indonesia	6
Colombia	2
Ecuador	2
Guatemala	1
Peru	1
Costa Rica	1
Mexico	1
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>14</b>

Year	Active Cases
2021	1
2022	1
2023	2
2024	9
2025	1
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>14</b>

**7. Complaints Panel**

**7.1 Dates for Complaints Panel monthly meetings**

Discussion on 30 April 2025:-

1. CP meeting dates for the rest of 2025 have been scheduled.

**7.2 Dates for Complaints Panel Physical Meetings**

Discussion on 30 April 2025:-

1. The dates for the next meeting are as follows:-
  - a) 18 June 2025 ( hybrid quarterly meeting); and
  - b) 2 November 2025 ( physical meeting)

**7.3 Expansion of Complaints Panel**

Discussion on 30 April 2025:-

1. The decision paper for the endorsement of the selection process for a new CP member has been submitted to the BoG.



<b>8.</b>	<b>Post Complaint's Monitoring</b>
<b>8.1</b>	<b>FELDA Global Venture - (Article by The Wall Street Journal)</b>
	<p>Discussion on 30 April 2025:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Pending receipt of a further document from the Respondent.</li> </ol>
<b>8.2</b>	<b>Extractor de la Gloria SAS</b>
	<p>Discussion on 30 April 2025:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Pending outcome of the local judicial and administrative processes.</li> </ol>
<b>8.3</b>	<b>Golden Veroleum Liberia (GVL)</b>
	<p>Discussion on 30 April 2025:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Draft directive furnished to the Complaints Panel for perusal and comments.</li> </ol>
<b>8.4</b>	<b>Aceites Y Derivados Sociedad Anonima (ACEYDESA)</b>
	<p>Discussion on 30 April 2025:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The Independent Verifier has been engaged for the independent verification of the implementation of the Complaints Panel's decision.</li> </ol>
<b>8.5</b>	<b>PT Perkebunan Nusantara V (PERSERO)</b>
	<p>Discussion on 30 April 2025:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The Respondent provided the 3rd progress update on the ongoing legal proceedings by way of email on 10 April 2025.</li> <li>2. Pending further updates on the legal proceedings from the Respondent.</li> </ol>
<b>8.6</b>	<b>PT Inecda (a subsidiary of S&amp;G BIOFUEL PTE. LTD)</b>
	<p>Discussion on 30 April 2025:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Both parties held a virtual meeting with the RSPO Secretariat on 17 April.2025. Both parties agreed to have a joint physical meeting and requested for the Secretariat to be present. The Complaints Panel allowed the request by parties.</li> <li>2. Pending receipt of monthly progress report for the months of March and April 2025.</li> </ol>



<b>8.7</b>	<b>PT Kartika Prima Cipta (a subsidiary of Golden Agri Resources)</b>
	<p>Discussion on 30 April 2025:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The Respondent has submitted the required updates within 90 calendar days of its decision.</li> <li>2. The Secretariat is preparing the Briefing Notes based on the Respondent's progress report for the Complaints Panel's consideration.</li> <li>3. Pending review of the Respondent's updates by the Complaints Panel before further directives are issued.</li> <li>4. The Secretariat is to prepare a Briefing Note for the Complaints Panel's perusal.</li> </ol>
<b>9.</b>	<b>Dispute Settlement Facility (DSF)</b>
	<p>Discussion on 30 April 2025:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The DSF GoA Physical meeting is scheduled on 3 November 2025.</li> </ol>
<b>10.</b>	<b>Commitments of Financial Institution(s)</b>
	<p>Discussion on 30 April 2025:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. No further updates at this juncture.</li> </ol>
<b>11.</b>	<b>1st Internal Voluntary Review of the Complaints and Appeals Procedures</b>
	<p>Discussion on 30 April 2025:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The public comments period has concluded. The Secretariat to convene the next Steering Group meeting.</li> </ol>
<b>12.</b>	<b>ASC - CP JOINT MEETING</b>
	<p>Discussion on 30 April 2025:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The ASC-CP joint meeting proceeded as scheduled on 15.04.2025. The material presented at the meeting has been furnished to the participants of the meeting.</li> <li>2. Pending preparation and submission of the Minutes of Meeting.</li> </ol>



**COMPLAINTS FOR DELIBERATION:-**

**GROUP 1**

<b>Complaint 1.1 Poligrow Colombia S.A.S. vs Inversiones Chirajara S.A.S.</b>	
<b>Case Reference</b>	RSPO/2024/04/MAM
<b>Date Filed</b>	18 March 2024
<b>Respondent</b>	Poligrow Colombia S.A.S.
<b>Membership Category</b>	Oil Palm Grower
<b>Country</b>	Colombia
<b>Complainant</b>	Inversiones Chirajara S.A.S.
<b>Complaint Description</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● The Respondent infringed a private property to do a firebreak.</li> <li>● The Respondent destroyed part of the forest of the plot of land and affected the place where the Complainant’s cattle were located.</li> <li>● The Respondent did not comply with a conciliation agreement signed between the parties.</li> </ul>
<b>Status</b>	Investigation / Deliberation
<b>Decision / Justification</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Pending a response from the Certification Body for an update on the special audit.</li> </ol>



<b>Complaint 1.2 NATURACEITES S. A. vs Requested for confidentiality</b>	
<b>Case Reference</b>	RSPO/2024/06/MAM
<b>Date Filed</b>	24 April 2024
<b>Respondent</b>	NATURACEITES S. A.
<b>Membership Category</b>	Oil Palm Grower
<b>Country</b>	Guatemala
<b>Complainant</b>	Requested for confidentiality
<b>Complaint Description</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● The Complainant alleges that the Respondent is affecting the compliance of the act on corporate due diligence obligations for the prevention of human right violations in the supply chain.</li> <li>● The Respondent has abused the human rights of the indigenous population since the Company has carried out an unlawful seizure of land and water.</li> <li>● The Respondent has not complied with labour law, because the Company has unjustifiably withheld the appropriate wage.</li> <li>● Occupational health and safety regulations are not respected by the Respondent.</li> <li>● The Complainant alleges that the improper disposal of waste made by the Respondent is affecting the environment of the area where the community lives.</li> </ul>
<b>Status</b>	Investigation / Deliberation
<b>Decision / Justification</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>The Secretariat furnished the draft Terms of Reference (ToR) for the independent investigation to the parties for their perusal and comments.</b></li> <li>2. <b>The Complainant has responded with their comments. Pending receipt of comments from the Respondent on the draft ToR.</b></li> </ol>



<b>Complaint 1.3 Palmas del Espino S.A. vs Requested for confidentiality</b>	
<b>Case Reference</b>	RSPO/2024/09/MAM
<b>Date Filed</b>	27 May 2024
<b>Respondent</b>	Palmas del Espino S.A.
<b>Membership Category</b>	Oil Palm Grower
<b>Country</b>	Peru
<b>Complainant</b>	Requested for confidentiality
<b>Complaint Description</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The Complainant alleges that the Respondent has acquired FFB using restrictive competition practices, which affects smallholders.</li> <li>• The Respondent has omitted the RSPO antitrust guidelines.</li> </ul>
<b>Status</b>	Investigation / Deliberation
<b>Decision / Justification</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Pending a response from the Certification Body for an update on the special audit.</b></li> </ol>

<b>Complaint 1.4 Compañía Palma Tica S.A. (a subsidiary of Compañía Industrial Aceitera Coto Cincuenta y Cuatro S.A.) vs Requested for confidentiality</b>	
<b>Case Reference</b>	RSPO/2024/13/MAM
<b>Date Filed</b>	11 September 2024
<b>Respondent</b>	Compañía Palma Tica S.A. (a subsidiary of Compañía Industrial Aceitera Coto Cincuenta y Cuatro S.A.)
<b>Membership Category</b>	Oil Palm Grower
<b>Country</b>	Costa Rica
<b>Complainant</b>	Requested for confidentiality
<b>Complaint Description</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The Complainant alleges that the Respondent breached the obligations to the RSPO by ignoring the High Conservation Values (HCVs) that were identified</li> </ul>



	<p>during the New Planting Procedure (NPP) in 2013, when clearing land in a protected area to plant oil palm crops.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● It is alleged that this situation affected forest conservation, as an area that should have been protected according to Costa Rican law was deforested;</li> <li>● The Complainant alleges that the area where the alleged deforestation took place has now been abandoned but the damage has not been remedied by the Respondent;and</li> <li>● The Complainant alleges that the said act of deforestation not only violates the RSPO sustainability principles, but also has a significant negative impact on local biodiversity and the communities that depend on this ecosystem.</li> </ul>
<b>Status</b>	Investigation / Deliberation
<b>Decision / Justification</b>	<b>1. The Briefing Note is in progress.</b>

<b>Complaint 1.5 INDUSTRIAS OLEOPALMA SA DE CV. (a subsidiary of Oleoquímica Mexicana SA de CV) vs Requested for confidentiality</b>	
<b>Case Reference</b>	RSPO/2024/17/MAM
<b>Date Filed</b>	29 November 2024
<b>Respondent</b>	INDUSTRIAS OLEOPALMA SA DE CV. (a subsidiary of Oleoquímica Mexicana SA de CV)
<b>Membership Category</b>	Oil Palm Grower
<b>Country</b>	México
<b>Complainant</b>	Requested for confidentiality
<b>Complaint Description</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● The Complainant alleges that the Respondent has unjustifiably dismissed workers, deceiving them into resigning with the promise that they will be rehired;</li> <li>● It is alleged that the Respondent did not pay the dismissed workers the full amount of compensation for early termination of contract, breaching Mexican federal labour law;</li> <li>● The Respondent bribed the authorities to obtain the parcel certificate from the National Agrarian Registry (RAN) required by Mexican Law;</li> </ul>



	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● It is alleged that the Respondent has falsified information regarding land ownership in order to use it as evidence to avoid the imposition of non-conformities during audits;</li> <li>● The Complainant alleges that he was dismissed for reporting these practices to senior management; and,</li> <li>● The Complainant alleges that crude oil palm is stored in tanks that do not receive any maintenance. Therefore, the Mexican legislation regulating this matter is not being complied with.</li> </ul>
<b>Status</b>	Investigation / Deliberation
<b>Decision / Justification</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>The Secretariat furnished the Respondent’s redacted response to the Complainant for comments.</b></li> <li>2. <b>Pending a response from the Complainant.</b></li> </ol>

<b>Complaint 1.6 OLEOFLORES S.A.S vs Requested for confidentiality</b>	
<b>Case Reference</b>	RSPO/2024/18/HN
<b>Date Filed</b>	28 November 2024
<b>Respondent</b>	OLEOFLORES S.A.S
<b>Membership Category</b>	Oil Palm Grower
<b>Country</b>	Colombia
<b>Complainant</b>	Requested for confidentiality
<b>Complaint Description</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● The Complainant alleges that the Respondent owns and operates oil palm plantations on conflicted and contested rural lands in Montes de Maria.</li> <li>● The Complainant alleges that the Respondent has diminished legal, customary and user rights in the region of Montes de María, without the FPIC of local communities.</li> <li>● The Complainant alleges that the Respondent failed to fulfil its commitments to ensure transparency in providing the necessary information to interested parties.</li> <li>● The Complainant alleges that the Respondent failed to fulfil its commitments to use peaceful dispute resolution mechanisms, not to instigate violence, to</li> </ul>



	<p>protect Human Rights Defenders and to prevent reprisals against them.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The Complainant alleges that the Respondent conducted non-compliant land clearing, which resulted in the damage of High Conservation Value (HCV) areas and freshwater ecosystems in the region.</li> </ul>
<b>Status</b>	Investigation / Deliberation
<b>Decision / Justification</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>The Complaints Panel’s directive to conduct an independent investigation has been communicated to both Parties.</b></li> <li>2. <b>The Secretariat is currently in the process of preparing the draft Terms of Reference (ToR) for the independent investigation.</b></li> </ol>

## GROUP 2

<b>Complaint 2.1 PT Citra Sawit Cemerlang (a subsidiary of Genting Plantations Berhad) vs Requested for confidentiality</b>	
<b>Case Reference</b>	RSPO/2024/03/LSL
<b>Date Filed</b>	04 March 2024
<b>Respondent</b>	PT Citra Sawit Cemerlang (a subsidiary of Genting Plantations Berhad)
<b>Membership Category</b>	Oil Palm Grower
<b>Country</b>	Indonesia
<b>Complainant</b>	Requested for confidentiality
<b>Complaint Description</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Allegations revolve around land issues where the Complainant(s) were evicted by the Respondent from their agricultural land.</li> <li>• There are elements of intimidation by the Respondent and local authorities in the process of resolving the disagreements on the aforementioned land dispute.</li> <li>• The Respondent destroyed the irrigation built by the government.</li> </ul>
<b>Status</b>	Investigation/Deliberation - DSF mediation process
<b>Decision / Justification</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>DSF Mediation in progress.</b></li> </ol>



**Complaint 2.2 PT Mitra Karya Sentosa (a subsidiary of First Resources Limited) vs Requested for confidentiality**

<b>Case Reference</b>	RSPO/2024/10/RH
<b>Date Filed</b>	10 July 2024
<b>Respondent</b>	PT Mitra Karya Sentosa (a subsidiary of First Resources Limited)
<b>Membership Category</b>	Oil Palm Grower
<b>Country</b>	Indonesia
<b>Complainant</b>	Requested for confidentiality
<b>Complaint Description</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The Respondent conducted land clearing, planting, and construction of a concession boundary road beyond the boundaries set out under its plantation business license (IUP) and/or right-to-cultivate land title (HGU).</li> </ul>
<b>Status</b>	Decision delivered with period to appeal (60 WD)
<b>Decision / Justification</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Pending expiry of Appeals period.</b></li> </ol>

**Complaint 2.3 PT Guntung Idamannusa (subsidiary of Musim Mas Holdings Pte. Ltd) vs Mr. S. Samsul Hadi (Kepala Desa Pelanduk)**

<b>Case Reference</b>	RSPO/2024/14/RH
<b>Date Filed</b>	16 October 2024
<b>Respondent</b>	PT Guntung Idamannusa (subsidiary of Musim Mas Holdings Pte. Ltd)
<b>Membership Category</b>	Oil Palm Grower
<b>Country</b>	Indonesia
<b>Complainant</b>	Mr. S. Samsul Hadi (Kepala Desa Pelanduk)
<b>Complaint Description</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The Respondent's Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) program is not concrete and sustainable for the surrounding community;</li> <li>The Respondent has conducted replanting/cutting down of oil palm trees on a plantation, resulting in the emergence of insect pests damaging the</li> </ul>



	<p>community's coconut plantation;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The Respondent only provided pesticides twice and did not take responsibility for the community's coconut plantation damage; and</li> <li>• The community's pesticide proposal through the Village Head was not urgently responded to by the Respondent, which caused the surrounding community to suffer great losses.</li> </ul>
<b>Status</b>	Investigation/Deliberation
<b>Decision / Justification</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>The Complaints Panel has directed the Secretariat to refer this Complaint to the relevant Certification Body for a special audit to be conducted, to which the Secretariat has obliged and carried out.</b></li> <li>2. <b>As of the Complaints Panel's meeting on 30 April 2025, the Certification Body has not furnished an official response to the Secretariat with respect to this Complaint. The Secretariat to follow up with the Certification Body.</b></li> </ol>

<b>Complaint 2.4 PT. Sawit Mas Sejahtera (a subsidiary of Golden Agri-Resources Ltd) vs Requested for confidentiality</b>	
<b>Case Reference</b>	RSPO/2025/02/RR
<b>Date Filed</b>	26 February 2025
<b>Respondent</b>	PT. Sawit Mas Sejahtera (a subsidiary of Golden Agri-Resources Ltd)
<b>Membership Category</b>	Oil Palm Grower
<b>Country</b>	Indonesia
<b>Complainant</b>	Requested for confidentiality
<b>Complaint Description</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• That the Respondent allegedly transferred the Complainant to a different department purportedly as punishment for providing information to the auditors during the Respondent's audit period.</li> <li>• The Complainant alleges that he is made to work extra hours and his wages do not commensurate with his work load.</li> </ul>
<b>Status</b>	Investigation/Deliberation
<b>Decision / Justification</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>The Secretariat received the requested clarification and confirmation from both parties in this Complaint. The same was furnished to the Complaints Panel.</b></li> <li>2. <b>The Complaints Panel has deliberated and has made a decision on this Complaint.</b></li> <li>3. <b>The Complaints Panel has directed the Secretariat to draft the Decision</b></li> </ol>



Letter for the perusal of the Complaints Panel.

GROUP 3

Complaint 3.1 First Resources Limited vs Requested for confidentiality	
Case Reference	RSPO/2021/04/EN
Date Filed	8 March 2021
Respondent	First Resources Limited
Membership Category	Oil Palm Grower
Country	Indonesia
Complainant	Requested for confidentiality
Complaint Description	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The Respondent allegedly has ownership, control and influence over a company called Fangiono Agro Plantation (FAP) Agri, a non-RSPO member who was not declared in the Respondent’s RSPO Group Membership.</li> <li>• The Respondent also allegedly has ownership, control and influence of an Indonesian company, Ciliandry Anky Abadi (CAA) who is a subsidiary of a British Virgin Islands registered company by the name of Sima Capital. This company too was not declared to be a part of the Respondent’s RSPO Group Membership.</li> <li>• The Respondent, via FAP or by FAP’s 11 subsidiaries have violated the RSPO Principles and Criteria by deforestation, peatland degradation, using lands for plantation without FPIC, river pollution, and unilateral layoffs of workers.</li> <li>• The Respondent, via CAA, have violated the RSPO Principles and Criteria by deforestation, peatland degradation, using lands for plantation without FPIC, and river pollution.</li> <li>• The Respondent is violating its NDPE Commitments, the RSPO Principle and Criteria, the RSPO Code of Conduct, and RSPO membership rules.</li> <li>• The Complainants alleged that the Respondent has control and influence over a group of companies known as New Borneo Agri (“the Sulaidy companies”), a non-RSPO member who was not declared in Respondent’s RSPO Group Membership.</li> </ul>
Status	Investigation / Deliberation
Decision / Justification	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Pending submission of the Briefing Note to the Complaints Panel for further deliberations.</li> </ol>



<b>Complaint 3.2 Energy &amp; Palma S.A. ( subsidiary of HOLDING LA FABRIL S.A) vs Requested for confidentiality</b>	
<b>Case Reference</b>	RSPO/2023/01/MAM
<b>Date Filed</b>	05 January 2023
<b>Respondent</b>	Energy & Palma S.A. (a subsidiary of HOLDING LA FABRIL S.A)
<b>Membership Category</b>	Oil Palm Grower
<b>Country</b>	Ecuador
<b>Complainant</b>	Requested for confidentiality
<b>Complaint Description</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Allegations made against the Respondent are in relation to the modification of property deeds to remove areas identified by the government as community lands and environmental protection zones.</li> <li>• Lawsuit against community owners to intimidate the local people.</li> <li>• Company's activities have a negative impact on the natural environment and lead to degradation and deforestation.</li> </ul>
<b>Status</b>	Investigation / Deliberation - Independent investigation
<b>Decision / Justification</b>	<b>1. Briefing Note submitted to the Complaints Panel for deliberations.</b>

<b>Complaint 3.3 PT. Bumi Pratama Khatulistiwa ( subsidiary of Wilmar International Limited) vs Requested for confidentiality</b>	
<b>Case Reference</b>	RSPO/2022/17/LSL
<b>Date Filed</b>	26 December 2022
<b>Respondent</b>	PT. Bumi Pratama Khatulistiwa ( subsidiary of Wilmar International Limited)
<b>Membership Category</b>	Oil Palm Grower
<b>Country</b>	Indonesia
<b>Complainant</b>	Requested for confidentiality



<b>Complaint Description</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Pertaining to labour related issues such as wages, no optimal health services and safety, (BPJS) is not registered, unilateral termination, the rights of the workers are not honoured, intimidation and expanded job scopes of workers (harvesters)</li> <li>● It is further alleged that the workers who have been working for a period of more than 5 years are not converted to permanent workers, instead their working days were reduced,</li> <li>● The Respondent is allegedly not transparent in determining Lembaga Kerjasama (LKS) bipartite and the amount of FFB delivered to the factory/mill.</li> </ul>
<b>Status</b>	Investigation / Deliberation
<b>Decision / Justification</b>	<b>1. Briefing Note is in progress.</b>

<b>Complaint 3.4 Energy &amp; Palma S.A. ( subsidiary of La Fabril Group) vs Requested for confidentiality</b>	
<b>Case Reference</b>	RSPO/2023/09/MAM
<b>Date Filed</b>	16 May 2023
<b>Respondent</b>	Energy & Palma S.A. ( subsidiary of La Fabril Group)
<b>Membership Category</b>	Oil Palm Grower
<b>Country</b>	Ecuador
<b>Complainant</b>	Requested for confidentiality
<b>Complaint Description</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Decrease of the number of workers in a union due to actions of the Respondent.</li> <li>● Preventing the Union from conducting its activity.</li> <li>● Wrongful dismissal or termination of employment.</li> <li>● Bad working conditions.</li> <li>● No supply of work equipment ( such as tools).</li> </ul>
<b>Status</b>	Investigation / Deliberation
<b>Decision / Justification</b>	<b>1. Pending submission of the Briefing Note to the Complaints Panel for deliberations.</b>