

The RSPO is an international non-profit organization formed in 2004 with the objective to promote the growth and use of sustainable oil palm products through credible global standards and engagement of stakeholders.

## Summary of Thirty-Third Technical SRWG Meeting

The Thirty-Third (33rd) Shared Responsibility Working Group (SRWG) technical meeting was opened at 14.00 PM (Belgium Time) on May 21, 2024 by the Secretariat physically and virtually.

The meeting started with a list of attendees for the meeting. The agenda of the meeting was presented to the SRWG briefly just after the anti-trust statement was read and acknowledged. The SR Unit presented on RSPO Secretariat updates, Future of SR, SR regional performance and SR strategy, Results of non-performers engagement (survey) and SR session during RT24.

The Secretariat thanked everyone for attending the meeting and looks forward to seeing everyone in the next meeting on 7th August, 2024 virtually.

The meeting was closed at 18:50 (Belgium Time)

## MINUTES OF MEETING OF RSPO RSPO Shared Responsibility Working Group (SRWG) 33rd Technical Meeting

Date: May 21, 2024 (Tuesday) Time: 14:00 - 18:50 (Belgium Time) Venue: DoubleTree by Hilton Hotel Brussels

## Attendance:

Divya Bajpai (DB) (physical) Nurul Ain Binti Sazalli (NAB) (physical)

No	Description	Action Points
1.0	Welcome Note         The Secretariat welcomed the SRWG members to the meeting.         The SRWG members acknowledged the anti-trust statement prior to the meeting.         The agenda of the meeting included:         1.       Welcoming and Agenda         2.       Approval of the minutes         3.       RSPO Secretariat updates         •       Action points         •       Status of key deliverables         4.       Future of SR         5.       Results of non-performers engagement (survey)         6.       SR session during RT24.	
2.0	<ul> <li>Approval of Minutes</li> <li>32nd SRWG meeting (30 April) was forwarded via email on 18 May 2024.</li> <li>Approval by Members via email (deadline 31st May, 2024). Secretariat to proceed with its uploading on the Website if no comments are received.</li> </ul>	
3.0	<ul> <li><u>RSPO Secretariat Updates</u></li> <li><u>RSPO Secretariat:</u></li> <li>Stakeholder engagement division integrated in the Market Transformation division         <ul> <li>NGOs academia, etc. Government engagement, public policy and financial             institution under JD directly.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ol> <li>Secretariat to follow up with the B&amp;I rep on her internal replacement.</li> <li>Secretariat to follow up with Nursanna on her attendance and commitment for the SRWG</li> </ol>

-Ca	<ul> <li>• SR Unit:</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>Secretariat to check with HS on the subgroup of BoG on ACOP, for the secretariat to do any changes or proposal regarding ACOP</li> </ol>
	<ul> <li>Budget under review, not approved yet</li> <li>NASPON quarterly meeting - SR performance, 2024 uptake targets and VM.</li> </ul>	
	SRWG members:	
	<ul> <li>Acknowledgement/ToR sent to Hazmilah Sulaiman - Wild Asia, Selina Winter - Icof group, Musimmas, Servane Molendini - Biscuits International</li> <li>Vacancies: Growers Row, 2 seats B&amp;I - Catarina informed looking for an internal replacement.</li> <li>1 seats P&amp;T still available- asked to nominate someone before withdrawal.</li> </ul>	
	Upcoming meetings and events:	
	<ul> <li><u>8th RSPO China Forum</u> - 10 July 2024</li> <li><u>Save the date RT2024</u> - Amari Bangkok in Bangkok, Thailand, from 11 - 13 November 2024. Book room <u>here</u> - 31st July.</li> </ul>	
Sta	tus of key deliverables	

		M 2022	L	1.1. 2022	August 2024
	Technical documentation	May 2023	June 2023	July 2023	August 2024
	Verification Manual (translations)			-	
SRWG	implementation)			-	_
	Implementation/ Operational CSPKO engagement plan and CSPO mapping exercise			_	
	Communication & Outreach				
	SR knowledge sharing webinars				
	Announcement and Webinars on 2024 Uptake Targets				
SR	Quarterly eGazette articles/comms activities				
Unit	SR Scorecard - September update		_	_	
	Engagement with underperforming members based on ACOP 2022 and MvRSPO Jan 2024			-	
	MyRSPO/SR Scorecard amendments				
	SR strategies - Top performers toolkit and EA.		-	-	
<b>-</b>	elen estete				
Discus	sion points:				
a propo -For the	P review can only be done by brin osal to this subgroup e uptake target on CSPO by reg nportance by region is to pull the	ion, need to p			

4.0	Future of SR:	
	Topic to discuss:	
	<ul> <li>Rethinking the impact to achieve through SR:         <ul> <li>which of the 29 SR requirements contribute the most impactful to achieve the change?</li> <li>role of the different categories.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ol> <li>SRWG to reach consensus on the SR impact rethinking activity.</li> <li>Socialise among sector members the Rethinking SR impact draft.</li> <li>To reach out those top 15 members per sector that</li> </ol>
	<ul> <li>Link to draft template - Propose to give more importance to:         <ul> <li>SR 7 - Claims and Labels (Transparency and legality) - B&amp;I, P&amp;T, CGM and Retailers</li> <li>SR 8 - Information and outreach activities (Transparency and legality) - NGOs</li> <li>SR 13 and 14 - SH Inclusion (Social)</li> <li>SR 27 - GHG (Environment)</li> <li>SR26 - Volumes (Uptake and Resourcing)</li></ul></li></ul>	<ul> <li>b. To reach out those top 15 members per sector that for two consecutive years do not meet the uptake target - wait for Sept24 SR Scorecard data.</li> <li>7. Secretariat to recheck the data of the top 15 members who did not meet the uptake target - share the correct data.</li> </ul>
	The list of top 15 members, per sector, who did not meet the SR uptake target are presented.	
	Discussion points: -The numbers in the column "RT Total", CSPO might be incorrect (referring: Unilever, Carrefour,Nestle) -Need to ask them how they report the numbers: • To compare with the previous years if it is correct or not • If there is any issues in ACOP Retailer reporting • ACOP 2023- how we reach out in term of CSPO -Example given for IOI ACOP report (2.5), CSPO is in much more demand as comparison to CSPKO, due to food security, study and research (reported as P&T)	

-		
	-Can we push them to reach the uptake target? Or probably the numbers are incorrect as they did	
	not report properly in ACOP	
	-We have touch based with the members on their numbers, they could not reach their target as	
	their volume was compromised to their external client (the amount of CSPO bought is as what the	
	client requested, not their own activity)	
	-These uptake are depending on the demand and we don't have clients	
	-Is the SR uptake target will change the way of the market's demand?	
	-SR cannot put a conditional demand on these members to increase their uptake target	
	- To educate on awareness we need NGOs, for financial we have the banks and investors	
	-The challenge now for the retailers is the structural issues in RSPO, the communication and claim	
	works against the retailers	
	-Why members reporting palm oil derivatives as 0, they should also put in the value. Probably they	
	do not buy or use the certified palm oil derivatives, in other word they don't buy mass balance	
	stearic acid and they also don't report the PKE	
	-(There are new updated number of all Top 15 based on CPO Uptake for all P&T, CGM and	
	Retailers, updated data with Lilian)	
	-We will continue outreach with one to one, and need to see how many of these members that we	
	have reach out	
	-Need to engage the top members, the ambassadors of each group so that they can influence their	
	peers or competitors	
	- On SR 13 and 14 - SH Inclusion (Social): Referring to RSPO SR Guidance - P&T file.	
	-Quality of oil from smallholders is different. To export to the EU, not only about the	
	certification, it is about the FFA (Free fatty acids) content, the higher it is, the more the	
	quality of the oil. That's why some Growers/companies they don't buy from SH	
	-Why do the preference is more on the ISH compared to Scheme SH. We agree to include	
	it in this G13, the buying is not really from smallholders, you are buying from your own. As	
	in this case, the SH is not part of the supply chain as it comes to the parent of the	
	company	
	-Need to look beyond Malaysia and Indonesia, need to look for Thailand, Africa, Central	
	America,Latin America smallholders, if we change the clause in G13 (no.5) what is the	
	impact on them	
	-We are opening the window on potential 5c. "Supporting livelihood could be through	

scheme smallholder project indirectly?" -Should put the definition on the who the smallholders are	
Discussion on Rethinking the impact of SR	
- <i>Referring to Rethinking the impact of SR</i> , the two levels of priority is Critical and Standard -On SR 7 (Transparency and Legality,Claims and Labels)	
- To propose on B&I, banks will deal with their customers (for example P&T) to comply with SR rules, which for example , in X years, all your facilities must be RSPO supply chain certified or/and the customers must increase their CSPO Uptake by 2%, no choice -For P&T, the red flag is in North America, they don't want to be RSPO certified because it is too expensive for them	
-On SR 8(Transparency and Legality, Information and outreach) -To check if there are any NGOs member of RSPO who are against RSPO -The need of RSPO NGOs member to fully promoting it	
-On SR 13	
-SRWG want to see the evidences of the impact of actions onto the smallholder -To be discussed if secretariat can do a template between the smallholder unit and the standing committee to be able to compare the impact of different action (which indicators used to measure impact)	
-with the current EUDR, the independent smallholders have difficulty to enter Europe market, but what about other regions, with China and India on lack of interest on the independent smallholders of other countries. This is the question for the Smallholder Standing Committee and not us	
-UK accepts MSPO, and MSPO has lots of smallholders but its still a small market - In downstream, the CGMs and Retailers do want to work with smallholders as way of support, such an example for a company they deliberately buy the credits from	
smallholders,probably as CSR -For smallholder inclusion for P&T, in term of uptake it might be difficult	
-SR25	
-with the proof that the members are significant reduction of GHG, as long as it is not	

	increasing		
	(WWF Palm Oil Buyers Scorecard will be presented later virtually)		
5.0	Results of non-performers engagement	1.	Secretariat to check evidences and example of the SR policies (At random)
	<ul> <li>This year we have rolled out Two Surveys One for P&amp;T CGM and Retailers and One for B&amp;I SNGO's and ENGO's</li> </ul>		
	<ul> <li>Survey was send to total 1771 Active members</li> <li>404 Members did not meet the target in 2022 (SR Team has reach out one to one these members)</li> <li>655 Members Met the Target</li> <li>46 Did not submitted ACOP</li> <li>630 Members shows not applicable</li> <li>36 Members were more than 95% but did not meet the target</li> </ul>		
	Out of 1771 we have received the response only from 44 Members		
	Details about the survey:		
	-Out of 44 responses:		

Region	CSPO Target	
Africa (1)	Target Met (1)	
Europe (18)	Target Met (7) Target Not Met (5) >95% (1) Not Applicable (5)	
India (1)	Target Not Met (1)	
Latin America (2)	Target Not Met (2)	
North America (5)	Not Applicable (1) Target Not Met (4)	
Rest of the World (Japan, Korea, Australia, NZ,Singapore, Pakistan) (17)	Target Met (5) Target Not Met (7) Not Applicable (5)	
To know more detailed responses:		
<ol> <li><u>Shared Responsibility Survey Year</u></li> <li><u>Shared Responsibility Survey Year</u></li> </ol>	4 (2023) P&T, Retailer & 4 (2023)_B&I NGO	<u>CGM</u>
Discussion points: -Some emails in RSPO does not go to inbox, s	ometimes to promotion,s	pam
SR session during RT		
<ul><li>Topic to bring, goal to achieve?</li><li>Excellence Awards on SR performance</li></ul>	e during opening ceremo	ny
Discussion point:		
<ol> <li>The changes in SR unit, what we learn the CSPO Uptake</li> </ol>	n, what are the gaps, wha	t SR perceived, issues on
<ol> <li>Reflecting in the last 5 years; what is v</li> <li>What have you learned so far? What a</li> </ol>		

13. Facilitator or moderator for the SR session of the SRWG members, which we have table for each sectors